

**To:** Phil North/R10/USEPA/US@EPA[]  
**From:** Carol A Woody  
**Sent:** Wed 3/10/2010 1:43:51 AM  
**Subject:** RE: Outline  
[6564.pdf](#)  
[Pacific.pdf](#)  
[Copy of anadromous benefits \(2\).xls](#)

Here are a few impt ones for starters.  
caw

-----Original Message-----

From: North.Phil@epamail.epa.gov [mailto:North.Phil@epamail.epa.gov]  
Sent: Tuesday, March 09, 2010 3:15 PM  
To: carolw@alaskalife.net  
Subject: Outline

Hi Carol,  
I have not fleshed this out at all. As you may be able to see, the document I am developing is focused on the ecological consequences of various mine failure scenarios from leaky tailings impoundments to catastrophic failure and AMD. I will also cover the direct losses from simply building such a facility in this location. I don't need to demonstrate that various pollutants are bad for fish.

Anything you can offer that will keep me from blind literature allies, will be appreciated.

(See attached file: Outline for Preliminary Ecological Assessment of the Pebble Copper Mine.doc)

Is the TNC risk assessment evaluating failure scenarios?

Phil

Phillip North  
Environmental Protection Agency  
Kenai River Center  
514 Funny River Road  
Soldotna, Alaska 99669  
(907) 714-2483  
fax 260-5992  
north.phil@epa.gov

"To protect your rivers, protect your mountains."